



Adelaide University Union

Clubs Committee Meeting [2-21]

Meeting Minutes

For the meeting held on the 31 March 2021

[Napier 144]

MINUTES

1. Procedural matters Welcome

Chairperson opened meeting 4.07pm

Acknowledgement of Traditional Owners*

We would like to Acknowledge that the land we meet on today is the traditional lands for the Kurna people and that we respect their spiritual relationship with their Country. We also acknowledge the Kurna people as the traditional custodians of the Adelaide region and that their cultural and heritage beliefs are still as important to the living Kurna people today.

Attendance *

Clubs Committee: Nicholas Birchall, William Broderick, Andrew Lai, Angela Qin
Adelaide University Union Staff: Kate Long, Kearin Hausler, Anna Wilkinson

Apologies*

No apologies

Declaration of conflicts of interest*

That all members in attendance declare that they have read and considered all papers within this agenda that require decision-making and have no known conflict of interest or potential conflict of interest with respect to those matters.

Birchall declares conflict of interest with agenda item 6.2 minor grants due to his presidency of UofA Totally Cooked

Unstarred Agenda Items

Recommendation: That the Clubs Committee approve all unstarred items.

Qin notes that the agenda should star numbers three, four and seven, and the committee should dedicate the meeting to discussing one, two and three.

Moved: Qin

Seconded: Lai

Motion passed.

Confirmation of previous minutes

1. Recommendation: That the Clubs Committee accepts the minutes of the previous meeting as accurate and true.

Birchall requests that page 17 and 74 are amended.

P17- 'could go a long way'

P74- 'clubs committee in general should write up one judgement'

Action: Wilkinson to make changes to previous minutes as discussed.

Broderick notes that if there is a conflict of interest, he will declare as it arises.

2. Matters arising from previous minutes.

Action	Club	Person Responsible	Status
<i>Contact applicant and ask how big their proposed Club would be, and how they would differ from existing Clubs. Also contact HDR Clubs and ask their thoughts on the feasibility of the proposed Postgraduate Physical Sciences Student Club</i>	Association for Postgraduate Physical Science Students	Wilkinson	Complete
<i>Notify applicant that their Expression of Interest was unsuccessful</i>	Association Sustainable Finance Society	Wilkinson	Complete

<i>Contact clubs removed from agenda to notify them that they were not discussed</i>	Pro Abortion Endometriosis and PCOS Society Justice Michael Kirby Appreciation Society War gaming and Miniatures Club	Wilkinson	Complete
<i>Wilkinson to notify applicant of Expression of Interest's success.</i>	A Cappella Group	Wilkinson	Complete
<i>Wilkinson to notify applicant of Expression of Interest's success.</i>	Critical Care Society	Wilkinson	Complete

<i>Action: Long to open conversation between Club and University and see what we can facilitate to provide professional support and ask whether the University could see this working in a Club environment.</i>	Depression Support Group	Long	Complete – Response from Student Care but nothing yet from Student Counselling through the University
<i>Action: Wilkinson to request Club change their name to be more descriptive and then re-submit EOI</i>	DS/ML Club	Wilkinson	Complete
<i>Action: Wilkinson to notify applicant of Expression of Interest's success.</i>	Veterans Association	Wilkinson	Complete

<i>Action: Wilkinson to let the Club know their EOI has not been approved – suggest they reach out to the History Club</i>	Wild Western Culture Club	Wilkinson	Complete
<i>Notify applicant of Expression of Interest's success.</i>	Tea Club	Wilkinson	Complete
<i>TABLED contact and ask them to collaborate with the Wild West Club</i>	History Club	Wilkinson	Complete
<i>Ask applicant for more details.</i>	Trading & Investment Club	Wilkinson	Complete
<i>Notify applicant of Expression of Interest's success.</i>	Photography Club	Wilkinson	Complete
<i>Action: Wilkinson to ask how they differ from existing CS clubs and perhaps request a name change.</i>	Adelaide B9	Wilkinson	Complete
<i>Action: Wilkinson to notify applicant of Expression of Interest's success.</i>	Social Sciences Society	Wilkinson	Complete
<i>Action: Wilkinson to speak to faculty and UPP program, clarify UPP related issues with applicants.</i>	Preparatory Program Students Society	Wilkinson	Complete
<i>Share Clubs Committee meeting minutes from November last year</i>		Long/Qin	Long sent to Qin – Complete?

3. Administrator Report

Clubs have used the first few weeks of university to welcome new members, and catch up with old ones. The diverse range of events have ranged from the regular conversation classes, and drop in sessions, to BBQ lunches, and churros breakfasts. To kick start the year, some clubs have begun holding social events. For example, Robogals, Women in STEM, and Society for Women in Space Exploration have worked together to hold their first joint coffee catch up. Other clubs have dived head first into organised events, such as PIRA, who invited the Ambassador of Norway Paul Gulleik to speak about his experiences.

The Clubs Community has also held its first event in 2021 using a major grant. Central Asian Society in Adelaide held a three day long Nauryz Festival, including; concerts, education talks, dance performances, and delicious food. The Clubs Committee was represented by Andrew, the chairperson, who did a speech on behalf of the clubs community. Thank you Andrew!

Clubs Administration has received 21 new grant applications, and counting! The beginning of the year often brings in a lot of grant applications as lots of clubs are hoping to hold welcome events, or purchase equipment for the upcoming year. After lockdown and not being able to fully service club events, it is heartening to see so many students take the opportunity to bring the community back together again in person. On this note, we are looking forward to having the 2021 grant guidelines up and running so we can better service clubs.

Kind regards,

Clubs Admin.

Long also wanted to share an update on grant expenditure for the year – stating there have been grant applications from 49 different Clubs with 25% of the grant budget already being spent.

4. Expressions of Interest* - Refer to Appendix 3

1. Recommendation: That the Clubs Committee considers the Expression of Interest made by Pro Abortion Club.

Birchall states that he firstly wants to note abortion is now decriminalised. He continues, explaining that the purpose of the Pro Abortion Club is to support students looking for safe healthcare.

Broderick states that as there is a pro-life Club affiliated with us, he supports the Pro Abortion Club in seeking affiliation with the AUU.

Qin disagrees with Birchall and Broderick, stating that the Students Representative Council (SRC) already has the Women Collective, which cover issues such as this. She notes that in accordance with the Club Guidelines, the proposed club would be doing something similar to SAFF funded group. She notes that this is the same as Endometriosis PCOS Society [Expression of Interest 2, to be considered]

Birchall asks Qin whether she is able to comment to Women's Collective bank account and whether they have ever received SSAF funding.

Qin states that she is not sure and cannot remember if there is funding, however the club is looking forward to getting some.

Birchall voices that he understands there is not funding in the Women's Collective bank account at present, and the clause Qin is referring too (6.4.1) states 'currently funded'.

Broderick asks Qin whether she can show the Committee the bank account of proof of funding.

Qin argues that she interprets the rules and that there is money available suffices for 'currently funded'.

Birchall also questions the purpose of the Women's Collective (6.4.4) and that given there is only one member of the collective, this does not suffice.

Broderick asks whether there is anybody on the Womens' Collective committee as a committee, by definition, cannot exist with only two members. He proposes that the Clubs Committee asks Georgia Honan (SRC Women's Officer) for more information.

Birchall agrees, questions whether the committee has ever met and who is on it. He states on Overheard there is a strong disconnect between the SRC Women's Collective, and the student led Women's Collective on campus. He refers to the Clubs Collective to the Overheard Facebook page.

Lai states that the Committee is getting off topic.

Birchall states that the history of the Clubs Committee and AUU does not look good in regards to women's issues and that The Advertiser has previously written about the actions taken. He notes that due to affiliating with the Pro Life Club, the Clubs Committee has connected to the community, and AUU to a religious institute. By proxy, providing support for the opposing opinion it demonstrates that the AUU is only supportive of one side. Birchall states that it is a bad idea for the committee on a personal level, as a student representative committee, and the AUU on a broader level to not affiliate the Pro Abortion Club.

Broderick states that he agrees with Birchall.

Qin notes that On Dit has also placed the Clubs Committee in a bad light.

Recommendation: That the Clubs Committee considers the Expression of Interest for the proposed Pro Abortion Club.

Motion: Birchall

Seconded: Broderick

Dissent: Qin and Lai

Motion Fails.

Action: Wilkinson to contact the applicant for the Pro Abortion Club and advise their application was not approved.

2. Recommendation: That the Clubs Committee considers the Expression of Interest made by Endometriosis and PCOS Society.

Long notes that this Expression of Interest is separate to the Pro Abortion club, with a different applicant and different objectives, and should be discussed separately from the first application.

Qin states that the Endometriosis and PCOS Society is the same as the Women's Collective and should be not be discussed further.

Birchall rejects that the Expression of Interest is too similar to the Women's Collective and notes that he cannot see why the Clubs Committee cannot any women's issues. He makes the parallel to engineering and the various sub-engineering clubs on campus, as well as having clubs that supports different majors in the Bachelor of Arts.

Qin suggests that the applicant should form a subcommittee on the Women's Collective as there is an extent the Clubs community can cater for singular issues. She states that it would be excessive if the Clubs Committee agreed for every major to have the ability to form a Club.

Birchall disagrees that the proposed Club should be a subcommittee. He states that 10% of women suffer from endometriosis, which is often underdiagnosed. This means that there is over 1000 student at the University of Adelaide would be affected by this subject, and would benefit from the existence of such a Club. He notes that it is a huge proportion of the student population, and by not affiliating the Club, the Committee is not doing its proper diligence. Birchall states that it is clear that this applicant would like to create a support network for a condition which is currently incurable.

Qin states that the applicant would be more than welcome to collaborate with the Women's Collective as she believes that, similarly to the Depression Support Group (not approved per Clubs Committee Meeting 1_21) is not the role of the Clubs Committee to support this club.

Birchall states that there the student population has lost a lot of trust in the certain members of the SRC, the SRC in general and the AUU more broadly.

Qin states that she has 100% trust in the SRC, and that is student are not happy with their current representatives, they should vote at the elections. She then states that the Committee should move on.

Recommendation: That the Clubs Committee considers the Expression of Interest by the proposed Endometriosis and PSOC Society.

Motion: Birchall

Seconded: Broderick

Dissent: Qin and Lai

Motion failed.

Action: Wilkinson to notify the applicant and advise that their Expression of Interest has been rejected by the Clubs Committee.

Hausler notes that objectively, the Clubs Committee still lacks a proper process of assessing similarities of Clubs. Retrospectively, the Clubs Community has a lot of clubs that are functioning today, but would no longer meet our standards. The Committee needs to have a process and system, and make it clear to applicants how their Expressions of Interests are too similar. This would also allow the Committee to avoid heated discussions. Moreover, Hausler notes that without being able to articulate how Expression of Interest are too similar, the Committee is not working in a constructive manner.

Birchall asked Qin and Lai why they are nodding to Hausler's comments as we the Committee just passed minutes saying that feedback of this nature is enough.

Qin notes that writing guidelines and rules would take a lot of the committee's time.

Birchall asks Qin to consider how much she is paid, and states that perhaps the Committee could meet more frequently to discuss these rules. One meeting a month, according to Birchall, is not enough.

Broderick also notes that regular meeting are important.

3. Recommendation: That the Clubs Committee considers the Expression of Interest made by Justice Michael Kirby Appreciation Society.

Broderick states that Justice Kirby is a retired, but highly decorated judge in the Australia's legal space, and that he is also a great friend with the University of Adelaide. He is particularly important to the queer community.

Birchall states that he has no issue with the purpose of the proposed Club, however suggests that the name does not reflect the intended purpose.

Qin states that she had already discussed this application when she was chairperson last year. She notes that the Justice Michael Appreciation Society is too similar to the AULSS and should not be affiliated.

Birchall continues to explain that this club is exclusively for the purpose of discussing the intersection of law and the queer community. Considering there is Engineering Society and Engineers without Borders, it is only fair to allow this club to exist.

REDACTED - CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION PROVIDED BY EXISTING CLUB

Lai tells Broderick to stop talking as he has declared a Conflict of Interest.

Long states that Clubs Admin must provide a reason if the Committee rejects of tables a club.

REDACTED - CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION PROVIDED BY EXISTING CLUB

Tabled.

Action: REDACTED - CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION PROVIDED BY EXISTING CLUB

4. Recommendation: That the Clubs Committee considers the Expression of Interest made by Adelaide University Wargamming and Miniatures Club.

Lai states that this Expression of Interest was discussed in a Clubs Committee meeting last year, and does not warrant further discussion.

Qin states that although there is a different applicant and purpose, what she said in the last meeting discussing the previous application still stands.

Birchall explains that the theoretical basis of games and war gaming is very different, such as elements of painting and table top. GAMES does not have enough funding, nor do they want to work with them. They are different things.

Recommendation: That the Clubs Committee considers the Expression of Interest for the proposed Adelaide University War gaming and Miniatures Club.

Motion: Birchall

Seconded: Broderick

Dissent: Lai and Qin

Motion fails.

Action: Wilkinson to notify the applicant and advise that their Expression of Interest for the Miniatures and Wargamming Club has been rejected by the Clubs Committee.

Qin states that she finds it weird that this application was made under the same name as the previous Wargaming Club application, but there was a different applicant.

Long notes that as it is from a new person and unrelated to the previous application, there is a clear demand for the club.

Birchall asks Lai why he does not want to discuss the proposed Club.

Lai states that he agrees with what Angela has said.

Long re-iterates that there are no current rules that indicate clubs are not able to reapply, and that the Committee cannot use this as a reason to deny a Club's Expression of Interest.

5. Recommendation: That the Clubs Committee considers the Expression of Interest made by The Cocktail Club.

Qin states that there is already a Wine Club.

Birchall notes that the two clubs are very different.

Long highlights that the EOI expresses a focus on non-alcoholic beverages as well as alcoholic cocktails.

Recommendation: That the Clubs Committee considers the Expression of Interest for the proposed Cocktail Club.

Moved: Qin

Seconded: Broderick

Dissent none.

Motion passed.

Action: Long to contact applicant and advise them of their Expression of Interest for the Cocktail Clubs success.

6. Recommendation: That the Clubs Committee considers the Expression of Interest made by the Adelaide Occupational Therapy Students' Society.

Broderick states that he supports the Expression of interest, and there is not conflict with other health and medical sciences associations.

Qin asks the Clubs Admin whether this is the case.

Long states that the Expression of Interest has outlined how it varies from existing clubs.

Qin states speech pathology, physiotherapy, and occupational therapy could all fall under the same name and could collaborate as they are from the same school. She states that the Clubs Committee should suggest they should collaborate.

Broderick suggests to the Committee that this could be discussed if they ever receive another Expression of Interest hoping to create a club from this school.

Long notes that the committee can approve the current application as it should not base this applications outcome on potential future applications.

Recommendation: That the Clubs Committee considers the Expression of Interest made by the Adelaide University Occupational Therapy Students' Society.

Motion: Broderick

Seconded: Qin

Dissent: None

Motion passed.

Action: Long to contact applicant and advise them of the Adelaide University Expression of Interests for the Occupational Therapy Students' Society's success.

7. Recommendation: That the Clubs Committee considers the Expression of Interest made by the University of Adelaide Project Management Society

Qin states that this club is looking forward to affiliating with the AUU.

Long notes that the applicant's had a meeting with the faculty on Monday, which was very positive in nature. She states that the applicant has pre-empted that there will be questions from the Committee to the similar nature of the Engineering Society and that they had a letter demonstrating the differences between the two clubs.

Lai states that his initial thoughts are that it is a subset of engineering, but if the Engineering Society thinks it's a good idea, and the faculty is in favour, we should table the Expression of Interest and see what they come back with.

Broderick agrees, and asks that the Clubs Committee waits until the process of faculty support is completed.

Tabled.

Action: Long to follow up with applicant regarding faculty support letter.

Tabled Expressions of Interest from Clubs Committee Meeting 2-21- Refer to Appendix 4

1. Recommendation that the Clubs Committee considers the Expression of Interest made by the DM/SL Club.

Lai asks the committee if they have any issue with this is the applicant is happy to rename the proposed Club to Data Science Club.

Broderick and Birchall are happy to pass.

Motion: That the Clubs Committee considers the Expression of Interest made by the Data Science Club (formerly named DM/SL Club)

Moved: Qin

Seconded: Broderick

Dissent: None

Motion Passed.

Action: Long to notify applicant of Expression of Interest's success.

2. Recommendation: That the Clubs Committee considers the Expression of Interest made by the Postgraduate Physical Sciences Association.

Qin: Readings suggest that everyone is on board with the Postgraduate Physical Sciences Association.

Motion: That the Clubs Committee considers the Expression of Interest made by the Postgraduate Physical Sciences Association.

Moved: Birchall

Seconded: Broderick

Dissent: none

Motion passed.

Action: Long to notify applicant of Expression of Interest's success.

3. Recommendation: That the Clubs Committee considers the Expression of Interest made by the University Preparatory Programme Club.

Qin expresses that she is still unsure of this Club as UPP students do not pay SSAF. However, she acknowledges that there are people in other AUU Clubs that do not pay SSAF.

Hausler notes that aside from the sitting on the executive, students, staff and anyone from the broader community can join clubs despite not paying SSAF.

Long adds that it is only 50% of any Club that need to be staff or students.

Lai questions whether the students should go to the Programme, rather than the AUU for support.

Wilkinson notes that the staff member contacted after the last meeting stated that this club would fill in a large gap lacking in the Programme (student led support).

Qin questioned whether it was fair to use SSAF funding for this, and that it appears as though the university is not doing enough in this space to support students.

Birchall states that AUU Clubs already fill a lot of gaps that the university cannot.

Birchall flags that if the Committee is not happy with the criteria it can be discussed at another time, however the applicant is currently paying SSAF although the club will also cater for students who do not pay SSAF.

Hausler adds that AUU sport utilises SSAF funding for non-students. He also notes that there is a certain cyclical nature to SSAF funding as although these students aren't paying now, they will pay in the future, which will in turn help students long after the UPP students graduate.

Qin questions what the UPP Programme is.

Wilkinson states that is a bridging program designed to assist students who want to go to university but, due to a plethora of reasons, were not eligible for their desired course.

Qin looks at the website and re-iterates that this is the case.

Motion: That the Clubs Committee considers the Expression of Interest made by the UPP Programme Club.

Moved: Birchall

Seconded: Broderick

Dissent: None

Motion passed.

Action: Long to notify applicant of Expression of Interest's success.

4. Recommendation: That the Clubs Committee considers the Expression of Interest made by Adelaide B9.

Lai and Qin asks to follow up whether the Adelaide B9 is substantially different from the Competitive Programming Club.

Tabled

Actioned: Wilkinson to follow up with Competitive Programming and Capture the Flag and ask how they change.

5. Recommendation: That the Clubs Committee considers the Expression of Interest made by the Trading and Investment Club.

Lai states that a board member I am still not comfortable to pass this club. Educational topics, although I know it's educational, you can teach something but if they mess up but they could sue the club. They could sue the AUU.

Birchall questions whether they could not sue the individual rather than the AUU.

Broderick asks whether the AUU would have liability.

Hausler notes that if the Club was to be approved, it would go to the University through the Logo Licencing Agreement.

Broderick agrees, if the Club goes to legal and risk this is enough. Birchall confers, saying this is enough to safe guard the Committee in passing the Club, and that the Committee should give the applicant the best opportunity to become a club.

Qin questions whether this club is sufficiently different to other clubs on campus.

Broderick describes that this club focuses on the practical elements, whereas other clubs look at the theory behind management and investment.

Qin asks admin to reach out to related clubs as she states she is not an expert in the area.

Tabled.

Action: Wilkinson to reach out to the University of Adelaide Finance Society and Economic Club.

5. Applications for Provisional Registration*- Appendix 5

1. Recommendation: That the Clubs Committee considers the application for new club registration made by the University of Adelaide Occult Club.

Lai asks the Committee what kinds of practices the Occult Club plans to undertake.

Birchall states that he believes the practices could not be any more dangerous to other clubs, for example the Car Enthusiast Club.

Broderick and Qin agree that is concerning that the Committee does not know what practices the Occult Club wish to undertake.

There is no further discussion.

2. Recommendation: That the Clubs Committee considers the application for new club registration made by the University of Adelaide Indo-Pacific Leaders Club.

Lai states that the Indo-Pacific Leaders Club is very active.

Qin questions why they are listed as 'cultural and religious', and believes this should be changed.

Lai agrees, saying that the Club is more like Bright Futures Club.

Long clarifies that this category is for administrative purposes, and can be changed if need be.

Motion: That the Clubs Committee considers the application for new club registration made by the University of Adelaide Indo-Pacific Leaders Club.

Moved: Qin

Seconded: Broderick

Dissent: None

Motion passed.

Action: Long to notify applicant of the success of their Provisional Registration.

6. Grant Applications-

Motion: That the Clubs Committee approves the minor grant amounts.

Moved en bloc (minor 6.2 as per Birchall's declaration of a Conflict of Interest): Broderick

Seconded: Qin

Dissent: None

Motion passed.

Motion: That the Clubs Committee approves grant 6.2 (UofA's Totally Cooked)

Moved: Broderick

Seconded: Qin

Dissent: None.

Motion Passed.

7. Proposed Amendments to Clubs Administrations Policy

To: Clubs Committee

Subject: Proposed Amendments to Clubs Administrations Policy

Date: 27/03/2021

Memorandum

Dear Clubs Committee,

In response to the Australian Human Rights Commission Change the Course report (released Aug 2017), the University of Adelaide established a Respect. Now. Always. Taskforce to review recommendations made within the report. As part of the Taskforce, we agreed to an extensive action plan including training for targeted staff and students. Throughout 2018 we met to progress the agenda of training for staff and students and one of the recommendations that we worked to is:

- By 2020 training in responding to disclosures of sexual assault is made mandatory for all committee members of AU Sports Clubs and AUU Clubs and Societies.

However, due to limited funding, we can only offer it to high-risk clubs (larger clubs with more event attendees). Further to that, as part of good governance, we believe its important for us to enforce risk assessment for large events, which is readily available in University website. The clubs committee can action the Clubs Admin to produce an AUU version if they see relevance in that.

Recommendation:

That the Clubs Committee recommends the AUU Board to make the following amendments to the Clubs Administrations Policy:

Add:

11.3 Clubs must do a risk assessment for any event(s) that is participated by more than ONE HUNDRED (100) people.

11.4 At least ONE (1) committee member of Clubs must undertake training in responding to disclosures of sexual assault and any other relevant training(s) if requested by AUU.

Yours Sincerely,

Oscar Zi Shao Ong

Board Director, Executive

Yoong Aun Lai
Board Director, Clubs Committee Chair, Executive

Angela Qin
AUU President

Qin describes that the university is developing their own training, which will hopefully we can deliver it to more clubs.

Broderick states that he am happy with his policy.

Birchall states there is ambiguity in the wording on the policy, and he is not happy to sign off on it as it stands. He uses the examples 'one committee of a club' and 'one committee member of one club'.

Long asks the Committee how they propose 11.3 is undertaken correctly as the is over 150 clubs who run autonomously.

Qin notes that we could ask Clubs to send through all their events.

Long explains that this is difficult to put in motion administratively as it is not in the nature of clubs to report every single event they hold. Moreover, it is difficult to put forward a motion that relies on clubs to self-report everything.

Broderick notes that whilst the intent is okay, the overall wording is questionable and should be looked at to eliminate such ambiguity. Broderick asks for clarify to who is the AUU in clause 11.4 'if requested by the AUU'? Moreover, Broderick voices that it is unclear who has the power to request such measures, and we need a distinction to be made to delegate authority.

Qin suggests that the 'AUU' refers to the AUU body in general whereas Birchall voices that this power should be delegated to one person. Lai is of the view that this clause refers to anybody in the AUU who requests it.

Broderick and Birchall state that they do not want to pass the policy as it stands, and that there needs to be more conversation and clarity provided before the would consider the policy.

Hausler notes that the Committee could consider whether this is amendment needs to be part of the policy, and that the Committee could consider other means of providing students with support- perhaps training a staff member on the issues at hand. He also states that there is ambiguity on duty of care and liability considering the risk assessments and who is responsible. It will be worthwhile to check if that is something the AUU for, or an external body, is responsible for. Hausler also suggested that the 100

person limit is ambiguous, and it needs to be clear as to whether it means 100 people at a time, or whether it must be a ticket event etc.

Lai states that the proposal is at its initial stage and they need multiple risk assessments.

Birchall further outlines that the wording of 11.4, 'one committee member' is unclear and this it would be better to specify what committee member, for instance, 'president, secretary or other nominated executive'.

Long outlines that it is important for the Committee to also consider time frames, as clubs are able to hold their AGM's anytime of the year. Therefore, logistically it is difficult administer such training effectively.

Birchall asks whether there is any scope to get the university to assist with funding such a large project, and re-iterated that he is unhappy with the current wording.

Long explains that the HR manager at the AUU has been in contact with the university, and that everything was put on hopes for the university to assist the AUU. She also notes that one way to administer training would be to hold free training 4 times a year and make it mandatory for one executive to undertake it as part of the Clubs re-registration.

Hausler also explains that there would potentially be movement with this issue as, following ICAC investigations, it is clear that the university is committed to creating change in this space.

Discussion tabled.

8.Any other business.*

Grant Guidelines

Referring to the proposed grant guidelines, Long states that there are not a great deal of financial changes to the grant guidelines, but there is a few additions such as the BBQ/Bake sale grant. She states that it would be beneficial for the Clubs Committee to look at this proposal and discuss at next meeting as many of the committee members have not seen the guidelines.

Birchall suggests that the Committee could read through it and have the guidelines passed through circular resolution, and if there are changes needed, we could discuss them at the next meeting

Lai asks whether there is usually a grant workshop provided to the Clubs Committee.

Hausler explains that this has historically occurred, but not for the past three or so years. Long explains that since she only started in the position last November, this is certainly something she would like to discuss going forward.

Lai proposes that the Committee has a workshop to discuss the grant guidelines. Long agrees, and proposes that the Committee also agree to a framework of approaching Expressions of Interests.

Lai asks what the purpose of the educational grant is.

Long explains that is one way for clubs to gain access to training regarding good governance, procedures etc.

Lai suggests that we could get rid of this category, and replace it with cooperate licences instead. Birchall agrees, and suggests that this money could go towards a larger training fund.

Action: Clubs Committee consider the updated 2021 Grant Guidelines and chairperson to set date for meeting to discuss proposed changes; Wilkinson and Long to delete the Educational Grant of \$200.

Qin asks whether the AUU are still hiring equipment.

Long explains that the AUU are hoping to eventually hire things out as normal, but due to COVID guidelines, we cannot currently hire anything more out.

Lai adds that he thinks the BBQ/Bake sale could be renamed, and he thinks that we should deliberate at a later date.

Stock pile soft drinks- Proposed by Birchall

Birchall proposes to the Committee that the AUU could stock pile soft drinks as a cost saving measure for clubs and the AUU. He states this idea comes from reviewing the grant guidelines, and realising how much money is spend on drinks for activities. The AU could purchase bulk soft drinks and 'sell' it to clubs with the profit returning to the AUU.

Qin asks how this would look.

Long suggests that we could even give the drinks away for free.

Birchall adds that there could also be a soft drinks grant.

Hausler asks Birchall to email us with details, which admin could then take to finance and build a plan.

Action: Birchall to email clubs admin proposal for logistical consideration.

Membership card discussion- Proposed by Birchall

Birchall proposes that the Clubs Committee supports clubs by providing a standardised sticker rather than clubs having to spend money on membership cards.

Qin asks what this would look like, and what benefits this would have for clubs.

Birchall states that it could potentially save clubs money.

Long states that when considering the grant guidelines, the Committee could add a stipulation to purchase stickers, but ultimately it would be up to the president's discretion.

Qin agrees with Long.

Action: Committee to consider discussion when overviewing grant guidelines.

Retracting names on Expression of Interest brought to the Committee- Proposed by Birchall

Birchall proposes that the names of application for Expressions of Interest should be redacted as it could potentially unfairly prejudice the committee. Moreover, it creates potential conflicts of interests with the Committee and the applications.

Broderick states he is in full support of this idea.

Qin asks what would happen if you knew the applicant, for example, if they were close friends.

Birchall states that is the point of retracting the names, to make it more objective when discussing Expressions of Interest.

All Committee members agree to have names redacted from the Expressions of Interest.

9. **Close.**

5.53pm

Next meeting:

Deadline for submissions: